

## Four Clones of *Borrelia burgdorferi* Sensu Stricto Cause Invasive Infection in Humans

GERALD SEINOST,<sup>1</sup> DANIEL E. DYKHUIZEN,<sup>2</sup> RAYMOND J. DATTWYLER,<sup>1\*</sup> WILLIAM T. GOLDE,<sup>1</sup>  
JOHN J. DUNN,<sup>3</sup> ING-NANG WANG,<sup>2</sup> GARY P. WORMSER,<sup>4</sup> MARTIN E. SCHRIEFER,<sup>5</sup>  
AND BENJAMIN J. LUFT<sup>1</sup>

Department of Medicine<sup>1</sup> and Department of Ecology and Evolution,<sup>2</sup> State University of New York at Stony Brook,  
Stony Brook, New York 11794; Biology Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973<sup>3</sup>;  
Department of Medicine, New York Medical College, Valhalla, New York 10595<sup>4</sup>;  
and Centers for Disease Control, Fort Collins, Colorado 80522<sup>5</sup>

Received 20 October 1998/Returned for modification 6 December 1998/Accepted 14 April 1999

**Lyme disease begins at the site of a tick bite, producing a primary infection with spread of the organism to secondary sites occurring early in the course of infection. A major outer surface protein expressed by the spirochete early in infection is outer surface protein C (OspC). In *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto, OspC is highly variable. Based on sequence divergence, alleles of *ospC* can be divided into 21 major groups. To assess whether strain differences defined by *ospC* group are linked to invasiveness and pathogenicity, we compared the frequency distributions of major *ospC* groups from ticks, from the primary erythema migrans skin lesion, and from secondary sites, principally from blood and spinal fluid. The frequency distribution of *ospC* groups from ticks is significantly different from that from primary sites, which in turn is significantly different from that from secondary sites. The major groups A, B, I, and K had higher frequencies in the primary sites than in ticks and were the only groups found in secondary sites. We define three categories of major *ospC* groups: one that is common in ticks but very rarely if ever causes human disease, a second that causes only local infection at the tick bite site, and a third that causes systemic disease. The finding that all systemic *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto infections are associated with four *ospC* groups has importance in the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of Lyme disease.**

Lyme disease is a progressive multisystem disorder and is the most common vector-borne disease in both North America and Europe. This disease was first described as a focus of pediatric arthritis patients in Old Lyme, Conn. (34). A few years later, the association of this syndrome with the bite of the deer tick, *Ixodes scapularis*, led to the identification of *Borrelia burgdorferi* as the causative agent (4). As culture isolation of the bacterium from clinical and field samples became more efficient, Baranton and colleagues (1) described three pathogenic genospecies, *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto, *Borrelia afzelii*, and *Borrelia garinii*. These are part of a species complex, *B. burgdorferi* sensu lato, which consists of at least 10 different genospecies (25, 26, 38). All three pathogenic species are found in Europe, but in North America, *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto is the only pathogenic genospecies. Each of the three pathogenic genospecies is associated with distinct clinical manifestations (39). This implies that differences in genospecies, and perhaps even strains, play an important role in the wide array of clinical manifestations observed in Lyme disease.

Outer surface protein A (OspA) is the major outer surface protein expressed when *B. burgdorferi* resides in ticks. As an infected tick begins to feed on a mammal, the synthesis of OspA is repressed (7) and the synthesis of OspC is induced (30). Thus, in early infection, OspC is the major outer membrane protein expressed by *B. burgdorferi* (10, 24). Even though OspC has been demonstrated to have limited surface exposure (6, 19), OspC is a potent immunogen. Immunization with

OspC is protective against tick-transmitted *Borrelia* infection (12). However, the protection is limited to the immunizing OspC allele, as challenge with heterologous isolates results in infection (27).

OspC is very diverse (16). Wang et al. (40) found 13 *ospC* alleles of *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto within a small tick population, while Livey et al. (17) found 34 alleles in 76 *B. burgdorferi* sensu lato isolates. Wang et al. (40) defined major *ospC* groups by using the observation that *ospC* alleles are either very similar (less than 2% sequence divergence) or very different (greater than 8% sequence divergence), with most having greater than 14% sequence divergence. Using these parameters and including all available sequences from GenBank plus the alleles from the tick population, 19 major *ospC* groups, groups A through S (Table 1), were defined and strains were associated with each group listed in Wang et al. (40). Strain B31 belongs to group A, strain HB19 belongs to group I, strain N40 belongs to group E, and strain 297 is a mixture of groups I and K. In the present study, we describe two new groups (groups T and U).

There is evidence that *ospC* has been transferred between strains (7a, 17) and even between genospecies (40). This is not true of *Borrelia* chromosomal genes (8, 20). We find that *ospA* and *ospC* alleles in *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto are almost completely linked (40). This suggests that once an *ospC* allele has been transferred into a particular background, there is little or no selection for another similar recombinant. Thus each major *ospC* group represents a clonal population descended from a single recombination. We call each major *ospC* group a clone and use the terms group and clone interchangeably.

In this study, we compared the frequency distributions of these major groups from ticks with those from human skin and those from skin with those isolated from secondary sites of

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Division of Clinical Immunology/Allergy, Department of Medicine, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Health Sciences Center, Stony Brook, NY 11794-8161. Phone: (516) 444-2348. Fax: (516) 444-3475. E-mail: Rayd@epo.som.sunysb.edu.

TABLE 1. Alignment of major *ospC* groups with *ospC* alleles identified by SSCP analysis

Major <i>ospC</i> group	<i>ospC</i> allele	GenBank accession no. <sup>a</sup>	No. of the indicated <i>ospC</i> group in isolates from <sup>b</sup> :		
			Ticks	Human skin	Secondary sites of human infection
A	1	AF029860	17	23	21
B	2	AF029861	17	19	4
C	3	AF029862	11	3	0
D	4	AF029863	10	1	0
E	5, 7	AF029864	6	1	0
F	6	AF029865	9	0	0
G	8	AF029867	5	7	0
H	9	AF029868	7	6	0
I	10	AF029869	1	9	3
J	11, 18	AF029870	3	7	0
K	12, 13	AF029871	6	32	16
L		L42899	2	0	0
M	14	U01892	1	3	0
N	15	L42899	1	3	0
O		X84778	0	1	0
P <sup>c</sup>		U91796	1	0	0
Q <sup>c</sup>		U91790	1	0	0
R <sup>c</sup>		U91791	2	0	0
S <sup>c</sup>		U91793	1	0	0
T	16	AF065143	0	1	0
U	17	AF065144	0	2	0

<sup>a</sup> A single GenBank sequence for each type is given as an example. The complete list of sequences is given in reference 40.

<sup>b</sup> The number of each major *ospC* group observed. This includes both SSCP data and data from the literature, including GenBank.

<sup>c</sup> *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto groups P through S are found only in Europe. Groups R and S are excluded from the analysis because nearly identical *ospC* alleles are found in *B. afzelii* and *B. garinii*, showing that these groups were recently created by cross-species transfer.

human infection. While many groups found in ticks are found in primary skin lesions, the frequency distributions are significantly different. All groups are found more or less commonly in ticks but in the primary skin lesions, only four groups are commonly found, and others are found only rarely or not at all. More importantly, only four *ospC* groups are found in secondary sites.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Borrelia strains.** *B. burgdorferi* strains ( $n = 140$ ) were isolated from primary erythema migrans lesions, blood, or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of patients seen at the Lyme Disease Center at Stony Brook, N.Y., the Lyme Disease Diagnostic Center at New York Medical College, Valhalla, N.Y., or the private practices of the two collaborating physicians on the eastern end of Long Island or were obtained from the Centers for Disease Control. All patients met the Centers for Disease Control surveillance definition for Lyme disease (5). Patients were asked to read and sign informed consent statements. Isolates from skin specimens, blood, and CSF were obtained by standard techniques (2, 3, 41). Punch biopsies were taken from the advancing border of the erythema migrans lesion and incubated in BSK-H medium (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) at 34°C to create a culture. We have shown previously that there is little culture bias as determined by direct analysis of biopsy tissue compared to culture isolates (31). This differs from the isolation of *B. burgdorferi* from unfed ticks (22). In addition, 22 *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto *ospC* sequences were retrieved from GenBank. The tick data used was either from GenBank or from the study of Wang et al. (40).

**DNA isolation.** For isolation of genomic DNA, log-phase cells were harvested by centrifugation (Eppendorf model 5415 C) at 10,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The bacterial pellet was resuspended in Tris-saline buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl), pelleted, and resuspended in TNE (10 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA). Freshly prepared lysozyme (20 mg/ml in TNE), sodium dodecyl sulfate (10%), and proteinase K (20 mg/ml) were then added, and the mixture was incubated at 50°C for 1 h prior to RNase treatment. DNA was extracted with phenol-chloroform, precipitated with ethanol, and resuspended in TE buffer.

**PCR.** The *ospC* gene was amplified by PCR as described previously (40). A 340-bp fragment of the 5' end of *ospC*, suitable in size to be studied by single-stranded conformational polymorphism (SSCP) analysis, was amplified by using forward primer 5'-AAA GAA TAC ATT AAG TGC GAT ATT-3' and reverse primer 5'-CAA TCC ACT TAA TTT TTG TGT TAT TAT-3'. The 3' end of *ospC*, 314 bp in size, was amplified by using forward primer 5'-TTG TTA GCA GGA GCT TAT GCA ATA TC-3' and reverse primer 5'-GGG CTT GTA AGC TCT TTA ACT G-3'.

Amplification was processed in 50  $\mu$ l of a solution containing Perkin-Elmer Cetus 10 $\times$  PCR buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.3], 500 mM KCl), 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, deoxynucleoside triphosphates at 0.2 mM per nucleotide, 2.5 U of *Taq* polymerase (Perkin-Elmer Cetus), and 0.5 M (each) primer. The amplification reaction was carried out for 40 cycles in a DNA thermal-cycler (PTC-100; MJ Research, Inc., Watertown, Mass.) with an amplification profile of denaturation at 95°C for 40 s, annealing at 54°C for 35 s, and extension at 72°C for 1 min, after an initial denaturation step at 96°C for 2 min. Negative controls were included in each experiment to control for contamination.

**Cold SSCP analysis.** Although a large number of molecular techniques are available to characterize genetic variation, we chose cold SSCP analysis based on its exquisitely high detection rate of DNA polymorphisms and point mutations at a variety of positions in DNA fragments (23). Single point mutations have been detected in fragments up to 800 bp long (21). However, there is evidence that the ability of SSCP analysis to detect mutations begins to decline significantly as PCR fragments approach 400 bp in size (14). To achieve high efficiency of detection of nucleotide polymorphism, the length of the PCR products used in our study was 340 bp from the 5' half and 314 bp from the 3' half of *ospC*.

Amplified *ospC* gene fragments from all 140 strains were analyzed for genetic variations by the cold SSCP protocol described by Hongyo et al. (15). Briefly, 5 to 15  $\mu$ l of the PCR product was added to a mixture containing 4  $\mu$ l of 5 $\times$  TBE Ficol sample buffer (NOVEX, San Diego, Calif.) and 0.4  $\mu$ l of 1 M methylmercury hydroxide (Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, Mass.). The amount of the PCR product used for the SSCP analysis was estimated after visualizing the PCR product on an agarose gel with ethidium bromide. The sample mixture was heated to 95°C for 4 min and then plunged into ice prior to loading the entire 20  $\mu$ l into the gel sample well. The sharpest bands were observed when the sample was applied to a precast 20% TBE gel (NOVEX). Electrophoresis was performed by using a temperature-controlled electrophoresis system (ThermoFlow ETC unit; NOVEX) with 1.25 $\times$  TBE running buffer. SSCP runs were conducted at a constant temperature of 8°C for 17 h at 240 V to reveal discernable mobility shifts. Gels were stained with 0.5  $\mu$ l of ethidium bromide per ml in 1 $\times$  TBE buffer for 25 min and destained in distilled water for 30 min. Stained bands were viewed with a UV (340-nm) staining box. Samples that showed more than two SSCP bands were reamplified to determine whether the bands found were real alleles or the product of PCR artifacts. Side-by-side SSCP analysis was performed to detect even slight shifts in electrophoretic mobility.

**DNA sequencing.** The *ospC* genes of representatives of each mobility class were reamplified. Double-stranded PCR fragments were purified by agarose gel electrophoresis and subjected to automated DNA sequencing using fluorescent dideoxy terminator chemistry and the forward and reverse primers originally used for PCR amplification.

**Statistical analysis.** Chi-square analysis of contingency tables was performed. This tests for significant difference in frequency distributions. The tables were 2 by  $n$  where  $n$  is the number of major *ospC* groups that were distinguished. The average expected number in each element of the table must be approximately six or greater for an unbiased test (42). This means that the number of observations should be greater than six times  $2n$ . When the expected average number was less than six, the major *ospC* groups with the lowest number in the sample were combined until the number of observations was approximately equal to or greater than 12n.

#### RESULTS

***ospC* mobility classes in human *B. burgdorferi* isolates.** We initiated our analysis of *ospC* sequence diversity by accumulating a large collection of isolates of *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto ( $n = 132$ ) from patient samples of skin, blood, and CSF (Table 2). Each was propagated in vitro and used as a source of DNA for analysis. The *ospC* genotype of each strain was determined by cold SSCP analysis of the 5' end (340 bp) of the gene and was later confirmed by SSCP analysis of the 3' end (314 bp) of *ospC*. In all *B. burgdorferi* isolates, the genetic variation at the 5' end of the gene corresponded to the variation at the 3' end. At least two representatives of each SSCP mobility class were subsequently sequenced. The sequences of the same mobility classes were identical in all samples and each mobility class had a unique sequence. Therefore, the sensitivity and specificity of SSCP analysis were 100%. This result confirms the findings of previous studies, which also utilized SSCP analysis to deter-

TABLE 2. *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto isolates classified according to geographic region, source, and major *ospC* group

Geographical source of isolates	Biological source (no. of isolates)	Major <i>ospC</i> group <sup>a</sup> (no. observed)
New York (Westchester County)	Skin (62) Blood (14) CSF (1)	A (8), B (10), D (1), E (1), G (6), H (4), I (4), J (6), K (18), M (2), U (2) A (5), B (2), I (1), K (6) B (1)
New York (eastern Long Island)	Skin (40) Blood (1) CSF (10)	A (10), B (6), C (2), G (1), I (4), K (12), N (3), O (1), T (1) A (1) A (3), K (7)
Connecticut	Skin (2) Blood (3) CSF (3)	C (1), K (1) A (1), I (1), K (1) I (1), K (2)
California	Skin (4) Blood (2) CSF (1)	A (1), H (1), I (1), M (1) A (2) A (1)
Arkansas	Blood (1)	A (1)
Wisconsin	Skin (1)	J (1)
Pennsylvania	Skin (1) CSF (1)	H (1) K (1)
Texas	Skin (1)	A (1)
Michigan	Skin (2)	B (1), K (1)
Europe (Austria, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy)	Skin (5) Blood (2) CSF (4) Synovial fluid (1)	A (3), B (2) A (2) A (4) A (1)

<sup>a</sup> A major *ospC* group is defined as a set of sequences with more than 98% similarity within each group and less than 92% sequence similarity between groups.

mine genetic variability in *B. burgdorferi* (13, 40). Each SSCP mobility class was designated an allele. Wang et al. (40) recently described 13 *ospC* alleles. In this study, we present an additional five *ospC* mobility classes, OC14 to -18. OC14 has the same *ospC* sequence as the *ospC* in strain 2591 (GenBank accession no. U01892) and OC15 has the same sequence as the *ospC* in strain 26815 (accession no. L42897).

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** OC16, OC17, and OC18 are alleles that have not been previously described in the literature. The GenBank accession numbers for these *ospC* alleles are AF065143, AF065144, and AF097915, respectively.

**Multiple infections.** Of the 132 primary isolates from patients with Lyme disease in this study, most contained only a single strain. Seven skin isolates and one CSF isolate contained two different strains as determined by SSCP analysis, thus giving a total of 140 different strains. The *ospC* allele pairs found in multiply infected erythema migrans biopsy specimens were OC1 and OC12, OC1 and OC14, OC2 and OC3 (two sets), OC2 and OC12 (two sets), and OC8 and OC18. CSF isolate NY940657 contained *ospC* alleles OC1 and OC12. For CSF isolate 297, which was isolated in Connecticut, there are two *ospC* sequences published in GenBank, L42893 (analogous to OC10) and U08284 (analogous to OC12). The pairwise difference of *ospC* sequences of both strains is 16.4%, suggesting central nervous system infection with two different strains in this isolate. Overall, 5.5% of the isolates in our collection contained two strains. Wang et al. (40) found that 50% of ticks collected on the eastern end of Long Island were infected with multiple strains. This suggests that exposure to multiple strains

in a single tick bite is common and raises the possibility that different strains are differentially pathogenic.

To these 140 strains for which we determined the *ospC* allele, we added 22 strains of known *ospC* sequence from GenBank to give a total of 162. The majority of these strains were obtained from either eastern Long Island ( $n = 51$ ) or Westchester County, N.Y. ( $n = 77$ ), with the remainder from other areas in the United States ( $n = 22$ ) and Europe ( $n = 12$ ) in which the strains are endemic. The isolates were divided into those from sites of the primary infection, erythema migrans skin lesions ( $n = 118$ ), and secondary sites, where the infection had disseminated ( $n = 44$ ). This latter group included 20 isolates from CSF, 23 isolates from blood, and 1 isolate from synovial fluid.

TABLE 3. Contingency table for major *ospC* group data for lesions and ticks as published in GenBank<sup>a</sup> and the literature

Isolate source ( $n$ )	No. of observations in isolates of major <i>ospC</i> group <sup>b</sup> :			
	A	B	K	Comb <sup>c</sup>
Erythema migrans lesions (22)	11	4	4	3
<i>Ixodes scapularis</i> ticks (25)	5	5	1	14

<sup>a</sup> GenBank data include all *ospC* submissions made before February 1998.

<sup>b</sup> The numbers of observations for lesions and for ticks were significantly different ( $\chi^2 = 11.1321$  with 3 degrees of freedom;  $P < 0.025$ ).

<sup>c</sup> Combined major groups (Comb) are defined by individual frequencies of 0.025 or less and include groups D, E, F, I, J, L, M, O, Q, and R.

TABLE 4. Contingency table for major *ospC* groups retrieved from lesions and ticks collected from eastern Long Island

Isolate source ( <i>n</i> )	No. of observations in isolates of major <i>ospC</i> group <sup>a</sup> :									
	A	B	C	D	F	G	H	I	K	Comb <sup>b</sup>
Erythema migrans lesions (46)	13	6	2	0	0	1	0	4	16	4
<i>Ixodes scapularis</i> ticks (74)	12	12	11	9	6	5	7	1	5	6

<sup>a</sup> The numbers of observations for lesions and for ticks were significantly different ( $\chi^2 = 36.3$  with 9 degrees of freedom;  $P < 0.001$ ).

<sup>b</sup> Combined major groups (Comb) are defined by individual frequencies of 0.025 or less and include groups E, J, N, and O.

**Major *ospC* groups in human *B. burgdorferi* isolates.** The pairwise differences of *ospC* sequences within *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto fall into two classes. Either a pair is different in less than 2% of its nucleotides or it is different in more than 8% of its nucleotides. Wang et al. (40) defined 19 major *ospC* groups, designated A to S. The present analysis revealed two additional *ospC* groups, designated T and U. OC16 represents major group T and OC17 represents major group U (Table 1). The lowest pairwise differences of group T and U from other major *ospC* groups are 16.1% and 20.5%, respectively.

OC18 is an allele in group J along with OC11. They have 99.6% sequence identity and were both found in skin isolates. OC11 was isolated five times and OC18 was isolated twice from erythema migrans biopsies. Otherwise, all *ospC* alleles found in this sample were from different major groups.

***B. burgdorferi* clones are differentially pathogenic.** We wished to show that clones are differentially pathogenic by comparing the frequencies of the various major groups in ticks, in the initial infection in the skin, and in disseminated infections. Our samples are not ideally suited for the comparisons that we wish to make since most of the samples are from southeastern New York state. However, we do not think this is a serious problem because the frequency distributions seem to be similar across the species range.

The strains in GenBank and the literature for which the *ospC* sequence has been determined are widely sampled from the entire geographic range of the species and were chosen irrespective of whether they were from ticks or humans. They give a small but random sample of the frequencies of the major *ospC* groups in ticks and humans. The frequency of the major

*ospC* groups from human isolates is significantly different from the frequency found in ticks (Table 3) (17, 18). This is also true locally. Table 4 shows that the frequency distribution of strains from skin from eastern Long Island is significantly different from tick strains collected in the same area.

To support the contention that geography is an insignificant component in the differences in the frequencies of the various groups in ticks and humans, we performed the two following tests. The frequency distributions of human isolates from eastern Long Island and the human isolates from GenBank and the literature are not significantly different ( $\chi^2 = 7.07$  with 7 degrees of freedom;  $P > 0.25$ ). Further, the frequency distribution of the tick samples from eastern Long Island is not significantly different from the GenBank and literature sample ( $\chi^2 = 10.84$  with 8 degrees of freedom;  $P > 0.25$ ). Our analysis shows that the frequency distribution of alleles in human isolates is significantly different from the distribution in ticks. This difference does not depend upon geography. Thus, we combined the data from diverse locations for the tests below. There is one caveat to the postulate that there is no geographical substructure. When the local and GenBank tick samples are compared without combining any groups, the test is significant ( $\chi^2 = 31.94$  with 15 degrees of freedom;  $P < 0.01$ ). The importance of this result is that it shows that not all groups are found at every sampling site. Consequently, there could be a group not present in southeastern New York state which is infectious.

Collective analysis of all *ospC* groups presented in this study shows that most groups are found in both ticks and humans (Table 1). However, while the different groups are in near-equal frequency in ticks (40), major groups A and K predominate in humans (Fig. 1).

**Comparison of the frequencies of the various groups in primary and secondary sites.** We further investigated the pattern of pathogenicity of the various clones by comparing their frequencies in the primary site of infection, the skin, with their frequencies in secondary sites. Only four major groups (A, B, I, and K) were found in both the skin and secondary sites (Fig. 1B and C). All other major groups were found only in the skin. When all groups with three or fewer isolates are combined to give the lfg (Fig. 1B), a 2-by-8 contingency test comparing the frequency distributions of skin isolates versus those of secondary site isolates indicates significance at  $P < 0.005$  (Table 5). When no groups are combined, a 2-by-15 contingency test is still significant ( $\chi^2 = 24.07$  with 14 degrees of freedom;  $P < 0.05$ ). The distribution of strains from primary and secondary




FIG. 1. The frequency distribution of major *ospC* groups among *B. burgdorferi* isolates from eastern Long Island *Ixodes scapularis* ticks ( $n = 72$ ) (A), erythema migrans lesions ( $n = 118$ ) (B), and secondary sites of infection ( $n = 44$ ) (C). The percentage of group A plus K was 23% in the tick isolates, 47% in the skin isolates, and 84% in the secondary sites. The lengths of the bars in the figure reflect these differences by holding the length of the combined A and K groups constant. In the skin, groups C, D, E, M, N, O, T, and U have been combined since their individual frequencies are 0.025 or less. This combination of groups has been labeled lfg for low-frequency groups. When combined, these groups make up 12.7% of the total number of strains.

TABLE 5. Contingency table for major *ospC* groups retrieved from lesions and from disseminated infection from all sources

Isolate source ( <i>n</i> )	No. of observations in isolates of major <i>ospC</i> group <sup>a</sup> :							
	A	B	G	H	I	J	K	Comb <sup>b</sup>
Erythema migrans lesions (118)	23	19	7	6	9	7	32	16
Disseminated infections (44)	21	4	0	0	3	0	16	0

<sup>a</sup> Numbers of isolates from lesions and from disseminated infections were significantly different ( $\chi^2 = 23.6$  with 7 degrees of freedom;  $P < 0.005$ ).

<sup>b</sup> Combined major groups (Comb) are defined by individual frequencies of 0.025 or less and include groups C, D, E, M, N, O, T, and U.

sites leads us to postulate that only major groups A, B, I, and K cause disseminated disease. We will refer to these clones as invasive and to other clones as noninvasive.

The above test showed only that the frequency distributions are significantly different, not that only four clones cause disseminated disease. We can support this contention by comparing the likelihood of the hypothesis that by chance we simply didn't find other clones in the sample with the hypothesis that only these four clones cause disseminated disease. If we assume that each infection was caused by a single strain, then the likelihood ratio is a ratio of two binomial samplings. What is the probability that of 44 samples there are only the four clones? The frequency of the four clones in the skin is 0.7034, so the probability ratio of the two hypotheses is  $0.7034^{44}/1^{44}$ . This means the first hypothesis is  $1.4 \times 10^{-68}$  less likely than the second.

However, we know that the other clones are less pathogenic than the four disseminating clones. The relative infectivity (*R*) can be estimated from the following equation:

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^4 m_i \sum_{l=5}^k n_l}{\sum_{i=1}^4 n_i \sum_{l=5}^k m_l} = 0.303$$

where *i* is groups A, B, I, and K, *l* is all other groups, *m* is the number of each group in ticks, and *n* is the number of each group in skin (primary infection). Assuming the same relative infectivity from skin to disseminated infection, then  $0.303 = (83/35)[x/(44 - x)]$ , where *x* is the number of expected strains which do not belong to the four disseminating clones which are found disseminated; if *x* = 6.4, the expected frequency of disseminated strains is  $(44 - 6.4)/44$ , or 0.8536. The likelihood of this hypothesis compared to the hypothesis that there are only four disseminating clones is 0.0009. Thus the hypothesis that there are only four disseminating clones is significantly more likely, supporting the conclusion that there are only four disseminating groups, A, B, I, and K.

## DISCUSSION

**Four invasive groups.** The difference in the frequencies of the three pathogenic genospecies in ticks and human infection has led to the hypothesis that the different genospecies are differentially pathogenic (25, 39). Here we report that the different clones of *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto, defined by *ospC* groups, are differentially pathogenic. Some groups very rarely if ever cause human disease, e.g., *ospC* groups D, E, F, and L.

Some groups cause a local infection at the tick bite site but not systemic disease, e.g., *ospC* groups G, H, J, and T. Finally, there are some groups which are responsible for systemic disease; these are *ospC* groups A, B, I, and K. Our findings indicate that all systemic *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto infections in humans are caused by strains in these four *ospC* groups.

The data of Steere et al. (33) are consistent with the results of this study. They found that 20% of untreated erythema migrans lesions cleared spontaneously without causing any systemic complications (33). This is not significantly different ( $P = 0.25$  for a 2-by-2 contingency test with double dichotomy) from the percentage of noninvasive strains found in the skin, suggesting that the erythema migrans lesions that clear spontaneously are caused by noninvasive clones.

There is extensive genetic and antigenic diversity of *OspC* in all three pathogenic genospecies of *B. burgdorferi* sensu lato (17, 18, 25, 36, 40). In this study, we show that *ospC* alleles are linked to both infectivity and invasiveness and that invasiveness is confined to a small number of *ospC* clones. One might expect that systemic disease in the other pathogenic genospecies is also caused by a small number of clones. Thus, *ospC* is a good marker for human pathogenicity and perhaps its determinant. These findings have important implications not only for our understanding of the pathogenesis of this disease but for its diagnosis and prevention.

**Possibility of other invasive clones.** Although we feel that our findings are broadly applicable, two factors, the limited geographic distribution of most of the isolates studied and the source of the invasive isolates, primarily blood and CSF, leave open the possibility that additional invasive groups may be defined. This possibility in no way diminishes the overall importance of the finding that only a limited subset of the *ospC* groups are associated with invasive disease.

Spirochetemia is a transient phenomenon but is presumably key in seeding secondary skin sites, the heart, joints, and nervous system, where these *Borrelia* organisms cause the secondary and tertiary clinical manifestations of Lyme disease. All four invasive groups were found in isolates from blood and CSF. The one joint isolate belonged to group A. However, it can be inferred that groups not found in the blood will not be found in the joints, since most if not all dissemination of *Borrelia* to secondary sites is via blood.

**Population genetics analysis.** This paper relies on population genetic analysis, which is an unusual approach to the study of infectious disease. Thus, we would like to point out the strengths and weaknesses of this analysis. Normally, model organisms are used as substitutes for experiments on humans. However, this substitution works only as long as the properties of the model organism and of humans are the same for the studied phenomena. In this study, the human immune system plays a critical role which is expected to be different from the immune response in model organisms, particularly the mouse. Humans are accidental and usually dead-end hosts, while the mouse is a critical host reservoir. Consequently, one cannot do direct experiments but must reach an inferential conclusion from survey data. The field of population genetics has developed sound procedures for reaching conclusions from survey data. However, this analysis still relies on correlation and does not explain cause.

Another major assumption in the analysis is that we are dealing with clones and using *ospC* as a marker for the clones. If *ospC* is the determinant of the observed differences in pathogenesis, this assumption is unimportant. However, if other genes are involved, then the assumption that there are clones with the various alleles of different genes linked in clone complexes is important. Ryan et al. (29) have challenged the

clone concept. They discovered an interesting phenomenon. In the mixed culture of a major *ospC* group L strain and a group G strain, the group L strain is selected in the mammal host and the group G strain is selected in the tick. These strains are different not only at the *ospC* locus but also at *ospA* and in their plasmid profile. In their discussion, Ryan et al. reject this explanation, instead suggesting that the genome of one strain contains this diversity—two *ospC* alleles, two *ospA* alleles, and plasmid content rearranged depending upon the environment. Their reasons for rejecting the mixed culture explanation are as follows. (i) The culture was colony purified before the experiment started. However, the plating efficiency was so low that the colonies that grew up could be mixed colonies where both strains were required for growth. Thus, one need not assume that the culture is a single clone arising from a single cell. (ii) Passage of the rarer strain in the mammal to the tick is unlikely. However, cultures were started using multiple ticks and 10 ticks were used to passage the *Borrelia* to a new mammalian host. Thus, even if all infected ticks do not pick up the rarer strain, which is then selected in the infected ticks, both the culture and the mammal are likely to contain this strain. Also, we have shown that different clones are not picked up randomly but are likely to be picked up together (28). It is clear that the *ospA* and *ospC* alleles are tightly linked even though they are on different plasmids (40). Thus, if the invasiveness is caused by allelic variation at another locus, this variation is likely to be tightly linked to the *ospC* variation.

**OspC as a virulence factor.** OspC could be the important virulence factor that determines the differences in pathogenicity between clones. Using immunoglobulin M antibodies from patients with neuroborreliosis, Mathiesen et al. (19) recently showed that the C-terminal region of OspC is exposed on the surface of the spirochete. The C-terminal polyproline II-like helix, which is conserved in all *B. burgdorferi* strains, and its adjacent residues are likely to be important for host-parasite interactions. OspC is not required for growth in culture and maintenance of the cp26 plasmid (37).

**Practical implications.** Our results have important implications for the development of vaccines and serum-based diagnostic tests for Lyme disease. Two independent trials of first-generation vaccines for the prevention of Lyme disease recently studied the efficacy and safety of a vaccine that is based on recombinant OspA (32, 35). However, a vaccine that consists of recombinant OspA may require frequent booster immunizations, since high antibody titers are necessary to eliminate *B. burgdorferi* in the tick vector (9). Natural infection with *B. burgdorferi* does not elicit antibody response to this outer membrane protein, as it does to OspC. Our findings suggest that all systemic *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto infections in humans are associated with four *ospC* groups. Because vaccines against OspC are known to be protective, but have been limited by the diversity of OspC (27), our finding could lead to a highly protective vaccine. We propose that a vaccine that includes these four forms of OspC would be a second level of protection against disseminated infection of the *B. burgdorferi* spirochete. SSCP analysis may provide a rapid and powerful tool to monitor vaccine efficacy by detecting rare or new invasive *ospC* groups.

New diagnostic assays based on major *ospC* groups A, B, I, and K could identify those at risk for progressive illness. A number of investigators have used OspC as a serodiagnostic antigen for early Lyme disease (10, 11, 24). In these tests, the use of OspC as a diagnostic antigen gave highly specific but not sensitive results. However, these studies included only one *B. burgdorferi* strain and therefore only one type of OspC. Routine tests for the diagnosis of Lyme disease also use a single-

strain protocol and therefore a single *ospC* allele for detection of antibody to the spirochete. Given that OspC proteins are antigenically variable (27), individuals infected with one strain may produce an antibody response that is not reactive with an OspC protein from a different major group. We therefore predict that antibody detection using antigen preparations incorporating a proper mix of invasive clones of *B. burgdorferi* will be much more sensitive than the present single-strain protocols.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the State of New York (10505-4-3R-97) and the National Institutes of Health (AIAR 37256). G.S. was supported by an Erwin Schroedinger research fellowship of the Austrian Science Fund (J1543-MED).

We gratefully thank D. Qiu, D. S. Dunkin, M. Randesi, J. Medalle, B. Lade, L. Butler, M. L. Hayes, and P. Munoz for technical assistance; J. Kieleczawa for assistance with sequencing PCR samples; K. Ginther-Davis (Centers for Disease Control), I. Schwartz (New York Medical College), B. W. Berger, P. K. Coyle, R. Kahlisch, and A. Natarro (all from SUNY at Stony Brook) for providing strains used in this study; B. Rannala for discussions about the statistical tests; and R. Gasser for thoughtful comments on the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Baranton, G., D. Postic, I. Saint Girons, P. Boerlin, J.-C. Piffaretti, M. Assous, and P. A. D. Grimont. 1992. Delineation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto, *Borrelia garinii* sp. nov., and group VS461 associated with Lyme borreliosis. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **42**:378–383.
- Barbour, A. G. 1984. Isolation and cultivation of Lyme disease spirochetes. *Yale J. Biol. Med.* **57**:521–525.
- Berger, B. W., R. C. Johnson, C. Kodner, and L. Coleman. 1992. Cultivation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from erythema migrans lesions and perilesional skin. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **30**:359–361.
- Burgdorfer, W., A. G. Barbour, S. F. Hayes, J. L. Benach, E. Grunwaldt, and J. P. Davis. 1982. Lyme disease: a tick-borne spirochetosis? *Science* **216**:1317–1319.
- Centers for Disease Control. 1997. Case definitions for infectious conditions under public health surveillance. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* **46**:20–21.
- Cox, D. L., D. R. Akins, K. W. Bourell, P. Lahidene, M. V. Norgard, and J. D. Radolf. 1996. Limited surface exposure of *Borrelia burgdorferi* outer surface lipoproteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **93**:7973–7978.
- De Silva, A. M., S. R. Telford, L. R. Brunet, S. W. Barthold, and E. Fikrig. 1996. *Borrelia burgdorferi* OspA is an arthropod-specific transmission-blocking Lyme disease vaccine. *J. Exp. Med.* **183**:271–275.
- Dykhuizen, D. E. Unpublished results.
- Dykhuizen, D. E., D. S. Polin, J. J. Dunn, B. Wilske, V. Praec-Mursic, R. J. Dattwyler, and B. J. Luft. 1993. *Borrelia burgdorferi* is clonal: implications for taxonomy and vaccine development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **90**:10163–10167.
- Fikrig, E., S. R. Telford, S. W. Barthold, F. S. Kantor, A. Spielman, and R. A. Flavell. 1992. Elimination of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from vector ticks feeding on OspA-immunized mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **89**:5418–5421.
- Fung, B. P., G. L. McHugh, J. M. Leong, and A. C. Steere. 1994. Humoral immune response to outer surface protein C of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in Lyme disease: role of immunoglobulin M response in the serodiagnosis of early infection. *Infect. Immun.* **62**:3213–3221.
- Gerber, M. A., E. D. Shapiro, G. L. Bell, A. Sampieri, and S. Padula. 1995. Recombinant outer surface protein C ELISA for the diagnosis of early Lyme disease. *J. Infect. Dis.* **171**:724–727.
- Gilmore, R. D., Jr., K. J. Kappel, A. C. Dolan, T. R. Burkot, and B. J. B. Johnson. 1996. Outer surface protein C (OspC), but not P39, is a protective immunogen against a tick-transmitted *Borrelia burgdorferi* challenge: evidence for a conformational epitope in OspC. *Infect. Immun.* **64**:2234–2239.
- Gutman, D. S., P. Wang, I.-N. Wang, E. Bosler, B. Luft, and D. E. Dykhuizen. 1996. Multiple infections of *Ixodes scapularis* ticks by *Borrelia burgdorferi* as revealed by single-strand confirmation polymorphism. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **34**:652–656.
- Hayashi, K. 1991. PCR-SSCP: a simple and sensitive method for detection of mutations in the genomic DNA. *PCR Methods Appl.* **1**:34–38.
- Hongyo, T., G. S. Buzard, R. J. Calvert, and C. M. Weghorst. 1993. "Cold SSCP": a simple, rapid, and non-radioactive method for optimized single-stranded conformation polymorphism analyses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21**:3637–3642.
- Jauris-Heipke, S., R. Fuchs, M. Motz, V. Praec-Mursic, E. Schwab, E. Soutschek, G. Will, and B. Wilske. 1993. Genetic heterogeneity of the genes coding for the outer surface protein C (OspC) and the flagellin of *Borrelia*

- burgdorferi*. Med. Microbiol. Immunol. **182**:37–50.
17. Livey, L. C. P. Gibbs, R. Schuster, and F. Dörner. 1995. Evidence for lateral transfer and recombination in *OspC* variation in Lyme disease *Borrelia*. Mol. Microbiol. **18**:257–269.
  18. Masuzawa, T., T. Komikado, and Y. Yanagihara. 1997. PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of the *ospC* gene for detection of mixed culture and for epidemiological typing of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. **4**:60–63.
  19. Mathiesen, M. J., A. Holm, M. Christiansen, J. Blom, K. Hansen, S. Østergaard, and M. Theisen. 1998. The dominant epitope of *Borrelia garinii* outer surface protein C recognized by sera from patients with neuroborreliosis has a surface-exposed conserved structural motif. Infect. Immun. **66**:4073–4079.
  20. Maynard Smith, J., and N. H. Smith. 1998. Detecting recombination from gene trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. **15**:590–599.
  21. Michaud, J., L. C. Brody, G. Steel, G. Fontaine, L. S. Martin, D. Valle, and G. Mitchell. 1992. Strand-separating conformational polymorphism analysis: efficacy of detection of point mutations in the human ornithine delta-aminotransferase gene. Genomics **13**:389–394.
  22. Norris, D. E., B. J. B. Johnson, J. Piesman, G. O. Maupin, J. L. Clark, and W. C. Black. 1997. Culturing selects for specific genotypes of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in an enzootic cycle in Colorado. J. Clin. Microbiol. **35**:2359–2364.
  23. Orita, M., H. Iwahana, H. Kanazawa, K. Hayashi, and T. Sekiya. 1989. Detection of polymorphisms of human DNA by gel electrophoresis as single-strand conformation polymorphisms. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **86**:2766–2770.
  24. Padula, S. J., F. Dias, A. Sampieri, R. B. Craven, and R. W. Ryan. 1994. Use of recombinant *OspC* from *Borrelia burgdorferi* for serodiagnosis of early Lyme disease. J. Clin. Microbiol. **32**:1733–1738.
  25. Picken, R. N., F. Strle, M. M. Picken, E. Ruzic-Sabljic, V. Maraspin, S. Lotric-Furlan, and J. Cimperman. 1998. Identification of three species of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato (*B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto, *B. garinii*, and *B. afzelii*) among isolates from acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans lesions. J. Investig. Dermatol. **110**:211–214.
  26. Postic, D., M. V. Assous, P. A. D. Grimont, and G. Baranton. 1994. Diversity of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato evidenced by restriction fragment length polymorphism of *rfl* 5S-*rfl* 23S intergenic amplicons. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. **44**:743–752.
  27. Probert, W. S., M. Crawford, R. B. Cadiz, and R. B. LeFebvre. 1997. Immunization with outer surface protein (*Osp*) A, but not *OspC*, provides cross-protection of mice challenged with North American isolates of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. J. Infect. Dis. **175**:400–405.
  28. Qiu, W. G., E. M. Bosler, J. R. Campbell, G. D. Ugine, I.-N. Wang, B. J. Luft, and D. E. Dykhuizen. 1997. A population genetic study of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto from eastern Long Island, New York; suggested frequency-dependent selection, gene flow and host adaptation. Hereditas **127**:203–216.
  29. Ryan, J. R., J. F. Levine, C. S. Apperson, L. Lubke, R. A. Wirtz, P. A. Spears, and P. E. Orndorff. 1998. An experimental chain of infection reveals that distinct *Borrelia burgdorferi* populations are selected in arthropod and mammalian hosts. Mol. Microbiol. **30**:365–379.
  30. Schwan, T. G., J. Piesman, W. T. Golde, M. C. Dolan, and P. A. Rosa. 1995. Induction of an outer surface protein on *Borrelia burgdorferi* during tick feeding. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **92**:2909–2913.
  31. Seinost, G., W. T. Golde, B. W. Berger, J. J. Dunn, D. Qiu, D. S. Dunkin, D. E. Dykhuizen, B. J. Luft, and R. J. Dattwyler. Infection with multiple *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto in patients with Lyme disease. Arch. Derm., in press.
  32. Sigal, L. H., J. M. Zahradnik, P. Lavin, S. J. Patella, G. Bryant, R. Haselby, E. Hilton, M. Kunkel, D. Adler-Klein, T. Doherty, J. Evans, S. E. Malawista, P. J. Molloy, A. L. Seidner, J. R. Sabetta, H. J. Simon, M. S. Klempner, J. Mays, and D. Marks. 1998. A vaccine consisting of recombinant *Borrelia burgdorferi* outer-surface protein A to prevent Lyme disease. N. Engl. J. Med. **339**:216–222.
  33. Steere, A. C., R. T. Schoen, and E. Taylor. 1987. The clinical evolution of Lyme arthritis. Ann. Int. Med. **107**:725–731.
  34. Steere, A. C., S. E. Malawista, D. R. Snyderman, R. E. Shope, W. A. Andiman, M. R. Ross, and F. M. Steele. 1977. Lyme arthritis: an epidemic of oligoarticular arthritis in children and adults in three Connecticut communities. Arth. Rheum. **20**:7–17.
  35. Steere, A. C., V. K. Sikand, F. Meurice, D. L. Parenti, E. Fikrig, R. T. Schoen, J. Nowakowski, C. H. Schmid, S. Laukamp, C. Buscarino, and D. S. Krause. 1998. Vaccination against Lyme disease with recombinant *Borrelia burgdorferi* outer-surface lipoprotein A with adjuvant. N. Engl. J. Med. **339**:209–215.
  36. Theisen, M., B. Frederiksen, A. M. Lebech, J. Vuust, and K. Hansen. 1993. Polymorphism in the *OspC* gene of *Borrelia burgdorferi* and immunoreactivity of *OspC* protein: implication for taxonomy and for use of *OspC* protein as a diagnostic agent. J. Clin. Microbiol. **31**:2570–2576.
  37. Tilly, K., S. Casjens, B. Stevenson, J. L. Bono, D. S. Samuels, D. Hogan, and P. Rosa. 1997. The *Borrelia burgdorferi* circular plasmid cp26: conservation of plasmid structure and targeted inactivation of the *ospC* gene. Mol. Microbiol. **25**:361–373.
  38. Valsangiacomo, C., T. Balmelli, and J.-C. Piffaretti. 1997. A phylogenetic analysis of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato based on sequence information from the *hbb* gene, coding for a histone-like protein. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. **47**:1–10.
  39. Van Dam, A. P., H. Kuiper, K. Vos, A. Widjojokusumo, B. M. de Jongh, L. Spanjaard, A. C. P. Ramselaar, M. D. Kramer, and J. Dankert. 1993. Different genospecies of *Borrelia burgdorferi* are associated with distinct clinical manifestations of Lyme borreliosis. Clin. Infect. Dis. **17**:708–717.
  40. Wang, I.-N., D. E. Dykhuizen, Q. Weigang, J. J. Dunn, E. M. Bosler, and B. J. Luft. Genetic diversity of *ospC* in a local population of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto. Genetics **151**:15–30.
  41. Wormser, G. P., J. Nowakowski, R. B. Nadelman, S. Bittker, D. Cooper, and C. Pavia. 1998. Improving the yield of blood cultures for patients with early Lyme disease. J. Clin. Microbiol. **36**:296–298.
  42. Zar, J. H. 1996. Biostatistical analysis, 3rd ed. Prentice-Hall International, Inc., Upper Saddle River, N.J.